(Previous)

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
SfA 320-13-3-5, 15, 16, 24 & 30
SfA 127-05-13, 14, 27 & 28
SfA 307-10-3-5
SfA 155-04-(1-10)

Later references.
SfA 121 A 1-5 & 34
SfA 83-30 (1, 2, 12 & 20)
— — Dept is G/3 — —
SfA 17-33 (1-10)
" 407-35-6
SfA 768-36 C (16)

SfA File No. 20-2

Serial Nos. 11-16

1921.

(SfA)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 4, 5, 9, & 11-16.

Part B for June 1924

SUBJECT.

Report from the DC Bangalore regarding the death of the Inspector Pensioned Mr Rafaddkhan, and recommending the name of the eldest son of the deceased to draw the pension of Rs 5-10-0 of the Inspector family.

To The DC. Bangalore } No. 4L 6922-39
& Comptroller } 13-6-24

Sanctions the vacant pension of Rs 5-10-0 to the eldest son of the deceased Ahmed Khan from 19.7.19 for the benefit of the family

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

(1)

G. R. S. III—1] 1920

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SJA)

NOTES.

File No. 20/20

FILE HEADING.

Sarjapur Jaghir Family Pensions

From

The Deputy Commr., Bangalore Dt.

No. 694-19 Dated 18. X. 20 Received 21. XI. 20.

Diary No. 2(49) Enclos. one/Nil Spare Copies. Serial No. 4

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports the death of Sarjapur Pensioner — Md. Raza Khan and recommends that the Vacant pension of Rs 5-10-0 may be registered in the name of the deceased's eldest son — Ahmed Khan.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

B

1) The original collection containing the G.O. of 8.2.06 Sanctioning the pension to the deceased has been destroyed by the records section.

2) The monthly Volume containing the G.O. is put up.

3) It is reported that the deceased — Md. Raza Khan [illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet.

(2)

Serial No.

has left behind him two wives & seven children (2 sons & 5 daughters) & two brothers – Abdul Rahiman Khan & Vahab Khan.

4) The Amildar of Devarhalli states that the 2 wives & all the minor children are under the protection of the petitioner – Abdul Rahim Khan – brother of the deceased & all of them have given statements that the vacant pension should be continued in the name of the petitioner – The residents of the Devarhalli town have also given Mahazar to that effect.

5) But the D.C. is of opinion that the pension should be registered in the name of the deceased's eldest son – Ahmed Khan (a minor) who is the nearest heir & the petitioner appointed guardian during the minority.

(5) Petn of 2.12.20 from Rahim Khan praying for the continuance of his brother's pension in his name (P.U.D. No 2)

B-415.

6) The petitioner in his petn of 2.XII.20 states that the pension was originally in the name of his father – Ahmed Khan & after his death & on the Consent of himself & his other brother, the Govt. have ~~D.C. recommended that the pension should be~~ registered the pension in the name of the deceased & he prays that as he is supporting all the surviving members ~~of~~ of the deceased, the pension

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SSR File No. 28 of 1920

Serial No.	
	may be continued in his name, to which all the members have agreed. submitted for orders.
	7/12 1) Are not these pensions hereditary? If so they shd in the ordinary course descend to the legal heir of the deceased - being entitled to the benefit of the family. 6/12/20
See footnote in the list of Sarjapur Pensions (Flag S)	2) Yes. These Sarjapur Pensions are hereditary allowances included in the annual money grant of 800 pagodas made on behalf of these and other Stipendiaries as a consideration for the resumption of Sarjapur & 35 other villages held by them as Jaghirs.
	7/12 a) The Dy Commissioners recommendation may be approved.
	7/12 b) The petitioner Rahim Khan produces no application that the Rs if the pension registered in the name of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S&A File No. 20 of 1920.

Serial No.

decision of the deceased, & he may [illegible] one day or other transfer the pension to whomsoever he likes and thus deprive the other sharers of their claims -

(Direct for appl?)

2015 8/12

Flsecy) 11) The chief Key may advise Cancelled 8/12

8-12-20

12) yr ords 5/12/20

Chsecy) 13) I think pension may be transferred & naming ordering the deceased - 15/12

(9) Petition from Sir. Ahmed Khan Son of the deceased pensioner (Fine rec'd) praying for the registry of his father's pension in the name of his uncle - Abdul Rahim Khan is linked herewith. (STA 20-20-9)

2260 6/1/21

Flsecy) Dewan

14) orig 48/12 15/12

15) ords 6/1/21

15/12 17-11

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Recd. on JAN 18 1921 Reg. No. 4793

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SW File No. 20 of 1920

Serial No. 9

Petition dt 10.12.20 from M. Ahmed Khan son of late Hafiz Md. Raza Khan of Sarjapur Jaghir family praying for the transfer of his father's pension (5-10-0) in the name of his uncle - Abdul Rahim Khan for reasons stated:

4) The petitioner is the son of late mahomed Raza Khan who was drawing a pension of ~~Rs~~ 5-10-0; he prays that he & his six sisters are under the protection of his uncle - Abdul Rahim Khan, The Vacant pension of his father may be registered in his uncle's name.

15) It is usual to register such pensions in the names of the eldest members of the family for their support - & if there is any complaint of the non-distribution of their shares, Govt. used to register them separately in individual names.

16) Submitted for orders. with the main file.

17) Yes. put up with

23/12

the Amildar & town people & the surviving members of the family have no objection of registering the pension in the name of deceased's brother, but the D.C. recommends to register it in the name of the deceased's son (the present petitioner Ahmed Khan)

24/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SA File No. 20 of 1920

Serial No.	
11	From Mrs. Abdul Rahim Khan dt 11.1.21
	P.U.D. No 3. States that his elder brother's (Raja Khan) wife & his children are under his protection and they all have deposed before the Builder that the Vacant pension drawn by his late brother may be registered in his name & prays that the pension may be registered in his name as he is the head member of the family & that it is not possible that his share (1/3) may be given to him, and the rest 2/3 registered in his name
	P.U.D. No 1. 18) The D.C. recommends that the Vacant pension may be registered in the name of Ahmed Khan — the eldest son of the deceased pensioner — Raja Khan.
	P.U.D. No 2 Page 5 of the notes (a) The abovt Ahmed Khan in his petition dt 10.12.20 prays that the pension may be registered in the name of his uncle — Abdul Rahim Khan as the latter protects him & his 6 sisters.
	20) may the petitions be forwarded to D.C. Bangalore for further enquiry & report?
	(Vide notes Page 1-4) 21) Submitted for orders with the main file got back from the Dewans office: Vide Chief Secy's opinion on page 5.
	2/2/21 22) Mr. Rahim Khan's representation

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(7

2861
3360

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SDR File No. 20 of 1920

Serial No.	
	X (Papers ~~of~~ as noted) Has considered ~~of~~ post. hopes passing ~~ady~~ for the ~~regis~~ of the entire pension in the names the widow & the deceased —
	(Have orders been passed? Not as yet 28/2. Progress mistake Pattys 1/3/21 23/3
	23) Have are noted formally for this having the pension in the applicants name entire ~~of~~ splitting it as requested Yours
2702 21/2	Yours) 21.2.21

12 From Dy. Comm, Bangalore, No 694 & 18.2.21 Forwards the petitions received from Ahmad Khan & his mother — Zahera Bi & requests that the Vacant pension may be registered in the name of Ahmed Khan as already recommended by him

PVD I

13 Petition & 28.2.21 from Abdul Wahab Khan. Prays that the Vacant pension due by his late brother may be equally divided ~~among~~ and registered in the names of his elder brother, himself & his brother's wife — Ami Bee according to Mahomedan Law.

14 Petition & 27.2.21 from Ameena Bee, Shaik wife of late Raza Khan & prays that the Vacant

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3360 342 342 (18

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SM File No. 20 of 1918

Serial No.

Vacant pension may be registered in the name of Abdul Rahim Khan who is bringing up daughters.

Ahmed Khan

- md. Raja Khan — 5-10-0 — died — 1st wife Amina Bee — 4 daughters.
- Abdul Rahim Khan
- Abdul Wahab Khan — 2nd wife Zahara Bee — Ahmed Khan

2) It will be seen from the above petitions that there are two brothers, 1 Shadi wife with her daughters & an illegitimate wife & her son — Ahmed Khan who claim the pension.

3) As there are disputes in the family, ~~it may be noted that~~ the petitions may be forwarded to D.C. for further enquiry & for noting the distribution of the pension according to mohomedan Law to the different claimants.

Submitted for order.

Illegible 3/3 Illegible 2/3.

26) The enquiry that has already been made seems to have enquired all the claimants and ~~to~~ their relationship to the deceased and the Dy. Comm's recommendation to hand in such enquiry. There are ~~no~~ no such facts or representations to remitting the case again to the D.C. for further report. ~~There is no~~ There shipping are

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-8-18—S.

Serial No.

hereditary? ~~How~~ How is the claim to succession settled — Is it according to Muhammadan Law — Has the question been decided when there are more claimants than are entitled under the Muhammadan Law. Pick up precedents if any. In the present case there is one point in favour of Rahimkhan & Wahabkhan, the 2 brothers of the deceased, ~~that~~ the question was raised and in spite of the demand of their elder brother with their consent and if at the time they were entitled to ⅓ share each, their representation for such share being allotted was same share same force — This aspect of the case has to be considered.

27) Please note if any references are wanted to be ascertained we can call.

18
1.3.21

Submitted.

25) In some cases the rules of succession according to Mahomedan Law was followed was and in other cases the rigid rule of inheritance was not adhered to strictly —

Reference

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3360

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S M File No. 20 of 1920

Serial No.	
Z	29) Reference is invited to Mr. K. S. Chandra-Sekhara Iyer's note dy 20-6-05 & Sir P. N. Krishna Murthi's note dy 31-X-05 in S M 155-04.
X	30) Please see Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's note dy 5-6-08 in S M 127-06 wherein it was decided that every member of the family is entitled to a share in the pension according to Mahomedan Law.
V	31) In another case the Gst Advocate has noted that the Sarjapur Pensions were not made on any recognised principles of Mahomedan Law but on grounds of convenience & expediency. (S M 307-10-8)
W	32) Reference is also invited to H/S note dy 31-1-17 in S M 320-13-30.
S	
1874	The facts of the case are explained in the dy cumbering of 20th Oct. 1920. P. A. D I.
1873	Ahmed Khan, the deceased's grandson is also above attained majority and the DC recommends that the pension allowed should be registered in his name. P. A. D IV.
Hay	This recommendation is also in accordance with the views
Hay	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-8-18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SM File No. 20 of 1920

Serial No.

33) The facts of the case are explained in the Deputy Commissioner's letter of 30th October 1920 P.U.D. I.

34) Ahmed Khan, the eldest son of the deceased is stated to have attained majority and the Deputy Commissioner recommends that the pension fallen vacant may be registered in his name. (P.U.D.IV.

35) This recommendation is also in accordance with the views of the Chief Secretary (Mr.Balasundaram Iyer) para 13 supra, and the recent policy of Government to have the pension continued in the name of a single member of the family instead of sub dividing it among the different sharers into small units.

36) The requests of the other claimants, viz, the brothers and widow of the deceased have to be refused.

DIWAN'S OFFICE.
MAR 22 1921
6022

Dewan) 21.3.21

37) [illegible]

5557
31.3.21.

26.3.21

30.3.21

38) [illegible]

D 2

Contn. sheet.

3429 3421

(12)

[G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&A File No. 20 of 1920

Serial No.	
A	Papers relating the Perpetual grant of pension in lieu of the jaghir resumed by the Govt
	Rec'd 11.4.21 Verified 18/3429
V, G, E, D, B2 X	3) The references noted in Mr Balasundaram Iyer's note dt 2.6.08 are submitted herewith.
A	The original Sanad re grant of Jaghir Pension is not available in our records. A translation copy of Mahratti document is put up.
	6248 5.5.21

Mr 7/4

Mr 26/4 / M 29/4

Submitted to 3rd member

18 5/5/21

To

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&A File No. 20 of 1920.

Serial No.	
	40) The devolution of pension in this family has not followed a regular course. From the settlemen made by the Inam Commissioner in 1872-73, it is clear that the Mahomedan law of succession was not strictly followed, the daughters being excluded from inheritance. Attempts were made to revise the orders of the Inam Commissioner and the Government finally declined to interfere in 1896 upon the report of the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore, - probably Mr.Shujaet Ali Khan. In 1887, however, the Government adopted the view that the succession should be governed by strict rules of Mahomedan Law and ordered the pension to be split up and issued independent stipend forms to the different heirs.
	41) In another case in 1905, this principle was departed from and it was held that, these pensions being political pensions and their value being small, an equitable distribution might be made whenever expedient. In 1917, after an exhaustive examination of the precedents, the Government ordered, on the death of one of the pensioners, the registry of the pension in the name of the nearest male heir of the deceased, leaving the other surviving heirs to settle their claims amongst themselves
	42) The early decision of the Inam Commissioner excluding the female heirs from inheritance is not wrong.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

Serial No.

wrong. During the early part of the last century a custom was actually in vogue amongst the Mahomedans to exclude the daughters and other female heirs under the Mahomedan Law from inheritance. This was due probably to the influence of the Hind Law and to the recognition of the fact that the inclusion of female heirs had the effect of fritter ing away the patrimony and diverting its course devolution into different channels not in any way connected with the original family. For example, if the rule of the Mahomedan Law be strictly foll -ed then on the death of a female heir, the pensi will have to be distributed amongst her heirs who might be quite unconnected with the original - ancestor for whose services the pension or the Jahgir was granted. It had also the effect of reducing the position and importance of the famil by restricting its source of income. The custom is still in force in the United Provinces and the Panjab in all big Zamindars' families. The Gover ment order of 1887 did not unfortunately take - notice of this custom and the pension was fritter away amongst the numerous heirs of the original jahgirdar.

T

43) It seems to me that as regards the devolutio of political pensions the rules of Mahomedan Law cannot be strictly followed. In the Moghal peri th

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

Serial No.

the personal law of Mahomedans was not enforced as regards the succession to Jahgirs. On the death of the Jahgirdar, the Jahgir became the property of the State and it was resumed in the case of one or several heirs by the separate orders or sanads of the Emperor. This practice is still in existence in Hyderabad. The later orders of the Government are not therefore without a legal sanction.

1 I think that the order of 1917 No.FI.4970-1 dated 6th March 1917 may be followed in future and the pension registered in the name of a male heir, the nearest in the line of succession, leaving the question of distribution amongst the other male heirs to be settled by themselves. It will be inconvenient and inexpedient on the part of the Government to recognise the small fractions into which these pensions may ultimately be divided. A limit should be placed beyond which the pension cannot be sub-divided. I would place the limit, having regard to the present conditions at Rs.5 a month. If a sub-sharer or a shikmedar is entitled to an allowance of Rs.5 and above a month the Government may recognise the claim and compel the registered Stipendiary to make the payment regularly every month.

44) The recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner is in order and in accordance with the latest precedent and may be approved.

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Rd. on MAY 17 1921 No. 7194

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

45) Decision Copy sent 19.5.21

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

3429

S/A File No. 20 of 19 20

Serial No.	
	47) Draft Submitted for approval
	48) Issue pre-audit. Obs. Letter sending copy of the proposal 18 6/6
15	To D.C. Bangalore & Comptroller, No. H 6922-3 dt. 13.6.21, 49) Sanctions the Vacant pension of Rs. 5/10/0 to the eldest son of the deceased – Ahmed Khan with effect from 19.7.19 for the benefit of the family.
	50) Draft endorsement to petitioners are submitted for approval. 51) Issued 20/6
16	Endorsement to Petitioners, H 7080-2 dt. 28.6.21.

Received Submitted

Received

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

21 OCT 1920

Col 694 of 1920

Enc on file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, the 18th October 1920.

From

M. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

27/11/20

25th

D2447

1920-21

FINANCE 27 NOV 1920 BANGALORE.

20-20

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Mahomed Razak Khan son of Ahmed Khan, Sarjapur Stipendiary holder No.66, residing at Devanhalli died on the 18th July 1919. He was drawing a monthly hereditary stipendiary allowance of Rs.5-10-0. His brother Abdul Rahim Khan having put in an application for the continuance of the said allowance in his name, the Amildar of Devanhalli Taluk was asked to report on the petitioner's claim. The latter reports that the deceased leaves behind him two wives and seven children (two sons and five daughters) and another brother by name Vahab Khan, besides the petitioner; that all the children are minors; that both the wives of the deceased and their children are under the protection of the petitioner; that the deceased's brother Vahab Khan and the two wives Amina Bee and Zahira Bee have given statements to the effect that the allowance may be continued to the petitioner and that a mahazar also has been drawn up by the residents of the Devanhalli Town to that effect.

2. But as Ahmed Khan, though a minor of fourteen years of age, is the eldest son of the deceased pensioner, and is therefore his nearest heir, I request that you will be so good as to obtain sanction of Government for the continuance of the said allowance to Ahmed Khan, minor to be represented by his guardian and uncle, Abdul Rahiman Khan during his minority. The entire vernacular records are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner.

2-47.

1920.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to
Government

Dated 6.10.20 Thursday

Despatched 20/10/1920

Received

General No. C.C. 694 of 1920

No.

Enclosure No. One V. file

Subject

Petition that Mahammad Sayab Khan son of Ahmed Khan late Jamadar Sibandi Peon died on 18.7.19. Regarding to obtain the sanction of Government for the continuance of the allowance to Ahmed Khan

~~Petitioner has decided under~~

The Dy Comm^r are under the standing orders now in force ~~to the contrary~~ authorised to pass orders in such matters. He may be told so and the Vernacular papers returned

Pleader, Petitioner
Record Money Mgr
20/10 Clerk

26.10.20

(18)

GEN & REV
Fin Secy
3rd DEC 1920

To The Financial Secretary

DIARY No. 2944

Public Officers

Bangalore

1920-21
Subject No. 4 a
File No. 20-20
Attached, No. 5

29033

Beg to bring the following few lines to your kind Consideration and to request that you will kindly favour me with favourable orders.

(1) My father late Ahmed Khan was getting Rs 5-10-0 per month on account of Sarjapour jahagir. After his death, the pension was transferred to my brother Mahamad Raja Khan on my & my younger brothers Consent as we were members of the undivided family. My eldest brother Mahamad Raja Khan died in July 1919.

(2). Now I am the sole manager of the whole family and have been maintain-

[illegible] Ahamad Zaya Khan
deceased. My brothers two sisters & Children
have given their Consent that the pension
may be given to me.

My younger brother Abdul Kohab
Khan also has given his Consent in writing
that the pension may be given to me.

3) I hear that when the records were
submitted to the Deputy Commissioner Bahawalpur
Sahib for disposal, he has been
pleased to order that the amount of
pension i.e. Rs 5.10-0 may be given to my
brother's son Ahmed Khan under my
Guardianship.

4) I think that the order of the Deputy
Commissioner is disappointing me as the
pension, if given to Ahmed Khan under
my guardianship, will not benifit
the whole family While I have been
managing the whole family.

5) If the pension is given to Ahmed
Khan eldest son of my eldest brother
late pensioner, he cannot claim
the pension to our family and he
may one day or other, transfer the

claim whomever he likes and he will be deprived of any right ~~for~~ pension. He has also signed the Mahazar that the whole pension may be given to me. Besides he has no claim for the whole pension, but only 1/8 of it.

Under these circumstances, I beg to request that you will kindly order the pension to be given to me.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient
and humble
Servant -
Mohd Rahim Khan
Khaja Peash Imam
of masjid
Dwarahalli
2/12/20

Family tree -

Ahmed Khan pensioner

1st Son — Mahamad Raja Khan (two wives) Children.

2nd Son — Abdul Rahim Khan -

3rd Son — Abdul Vohab Khan

All these have given Consent that the pension may be given to me - RK

GEN & REV. SEC.
Final Issue
14 DEC 1920

1920
Section. A
File No. 20-20
Serial No.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]



To

M. N. Krishna Rao Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Financial Department.

Respected Sir,

I, the undersigned beg to bring the following few lines to your kind consideration and favorable order.

My father by name Ahamad Khan died in the year 1904, and hence the Sarjapur-Jahagiri pension (Rs.5-10-0), which used to be till then disbursed to him, was thenceforward given away to the eldest of the three sons, named Mahamad Rajakhan, Abdul Rahim Khan, Andul Vahabkhan respectively since all of us lived together.

Mahamad Rajakhan, the eldest son passed away in the month of July 1919. His share, and also that of Abdul Vahabkhan, the third son may kindly be transferred to me in-as-muchas I have obtained the written consent of the wife of the eldest son, and my last brother.

The wife of my deceased brother, Mahamad Rajakhan is under my protection. When such is the case, I hear that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, has been pleased to order, that the pension amount should be made over to the minor son of my eldest brother, and that I should remain his guardian. I have been the virtual master of the whole family, and the two sons and five daughters of my late lamented brother have been living under my roof. They are entitled to only one share of the pension such being the case, it is a great mortification to me to see that the Deputy Commissioner has granted the shares to them.

Since they are now willing to resign their legitimate share in my favor, I request you to kindly recommend my case to the Government to change the stipender to my name. If this is not possible, I request that one-third share may be given to them and two-thirds to me.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore,
Dated, 11th January'21.

Dr. Mohamed Rahim Khan
Resd't Bangalore

(23)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 18th February 1921.

No. O.C. 694 of 19-20
Three

From

REV. SEC. DIARY No. 1.27
21 FEB 1921
SECTION Misc.
FILE No. 54877
SERIAL No.

L. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

T. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Huzrai Department.

Sir,

In inviting your attention to my letter No.O.C.694 of 19-20 dated 19th October 1920 recommending the continuance of Sarjapur stipendiary allowance of the late Razak Khan to his son Ahamad Khan, I have the honor to forward herewith the applications received from the said Ahamad Khan stating that he has attained majority and to request you to be so good as to obtain sanction of Government for the continuance of the said allowance to Ahmad Khan as already recommended.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

1921.

The Deputy Commr.
Bangalore Dist.

To The Secretary to Govt.
Muzrai Deptt
Bangalore

Dated 18-2-21.

Despatched 19-2-21

Received

General No. 9-2. & Pl. 3-2-21

No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Re the Sunjapan Shipendiary allowance due to Ahmed Khan son of late Rasul Khan.

3499
1920-21

(25)

(24)

Abdul Wahab Khan,
---:0:---

No.4L No.8, Street,
Old Poor House Road,
Bangalore Cantt. 28th Feb.1921.

3421

To

The Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

1920-21
S+A
20-20
8/13

Sir,

I beg to bring to your kind notice that my brother - Mahamed Raza Khan who was in receipt of Savanjpur Jaghir Pension of Rs5/10/- died in 1919. The deceased has left a Shadi wife Amina Bee and four daughters, and two brothers, i.e, myself and my elder brother - Abdul Rahim Khan.

I heard that the Deputy Commissioner has recommended to the Government to sanction the pension in the name of one Ahamed Khan who is not a legal son to my deceased brother.

I therefore request that the vacant pension drawn by my late brother may be divided equally and registered in the names of my brother, myself and deceased brother's wife Ameena Bee according to Mahamedan Law.

For which act of kindness, I shall feel highly thankful.

I beg to remain,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Abdul Wahab Khan

(21)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d :-

Petitions dated 2nd December 1920 and 28th February 1921 from Abdul Rahim Khan and Abdul Wahab Khan brothers and Petition dated 27th February 1921 from Ameena Bee wife of the deceased Mahamed Raza Khan who was in receipt of a Sarjapur Jaghir Pension of Rs. 5-10-0 praying that the vacant pension may be registered in the name of Abdul Rahim Khan as the eldest surviving member of family and that it may be equitably distributed among allthe claimants.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. O.C. 694-19 dated 18th February 1921 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore submitting a report on the case after local enquiry recommending the continuance with effect from 19th July 1919 of the vacant pension in the name of the deceased's eldest son Ahmed Khan for the benefit of the family.

O R D E R No. R 6922-3 / 320-20-15

Dated Bangalore 13 June 1921.

The Deputy Commissioner's recommendation is sanctioned.

Fair draft.

11/6/21

For Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Comptroller, Mysore Government,

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore returning the records.

T.S.

(25)

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 3429

Section SM

File No. 20/20

Serial No. 1

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SM)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

, *the* *191* .

Date. of issue.....

. Signed by.....

Pet^n dt 27.2.21 from Mohamed Rahim Khan brothers of late Mahamed Raza Khan ~~in making the death of his elder brother~~ who was in receipt of a Sanjeevini Jaghir pension of Rs 5/10/0 ~~per~~ praying that the Vacant pension may be registered in the name of Mohd Rahim Khan as the eldest surviving member of the family

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press for publication?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

Read.— ~~2.2.21 & 28.2.21~~

Petitions dt 2.XII.20 from Mohamed Rahim Khan brothers of late Mahamed Raza Khan deceased

2) Petition dt 27.2.21 from

Order Thereon:— No.

~~This is not possible~~ it may be equitably distributed to the claimants ~~among~~ all

over.

Amina Bee wife of the deceased
pensioner praying that the
Vacant pension may be
registered in the name of
her husband's brother - Abdul
Rahim Khan.

3) Petition dt 28.2.21 from
Abdul Wahab Khan - yourself
brother of the deceased pensioner praying
that the Vacant pension
may be divided proportionately
& registered in the name of his
elder brother, himself & his
deceased's brother's wife - Amina
Bee.

4) Correspondence ending
with letter No CR.
694-19 dt 18.2.21 from D.C. Bangalore ~~reporting the death~~ submitting a report on the Case of Mahomed Raza Khan on 15th ~~July 1919 & recommending~~ recommending the continuance with effect from 15th July
~~registry~~ of the Vacant pension
in the name of the deceased's
eldest son - Ahmed Khan for
the benefit of the family.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

9

30

Order No.

Govt. agree with the D.C.'s recommendation & are pleased to direct that the Vacant Jaghir pension of Rs 5-10-0 be registered in the name of the elder son of the deceased — Ahmed Khan with effect from 19th July 1919 for the support of the family.

2) The petitioners & other claimants if any should settle between themselves & the registered holder about the distribution of the pension in accordance with their rights of enjoyment.

Mesg Comm's recommendation

18/6/19

For Secretary.

11/6/19

To Comptroller

& D.C. Bandalore returning the records.

19/6/19

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 28/6/21

Signed by .....

Section .....

File No. 20—20

Serial No. 16

No. JE 7080/-2

28 June/21

To 1) Mr. Abdul Rahim Khan,
Peish Imam
Devanhalli

2) Mst:- Ameena Bee
wife of late Mahmed Raja Khan
Sanjapura Pentiaer
Devanhalli

3) Mr. Abdul Wahab Khan
No 4L 8 St, old Poor House Road,
Ctm Sm, Bangalore

With reference to his petition dy

over.

~~praying for the~~ continuance of
the Vicaut ~~late~~ Sanjeevan
pension of Rs 5/10/0 ~~in his the~~
~~name~~ ~~or for the equal within~~ of ~~Abdul Rahiman~~
~~babies of the town to all the~~
~~claimants etc.~~, ~~the~~
~~petitioner is~~ ~~not~~ ~~furnished for information~~
~~with~~ a copy of the G.C.
& 13.6.21 ~~on the~~ ~~proceedings of Communicals~~
~~matter.~~ is furnished for info. ~~and~~
B.O.
18
23/6/21
Dr 2 C Ex 7
11th
24/6

~~Recd~~
22/6

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by